



TEST REPORT

Maintenance testing of generic cabling products: Screened channel, Class EA, 4 connector model

Performed for Excel

Report no.: 12125881-01

DANAK-19/22059

Page 1 of 51

1 appendix



ADVANCED
TECHNOLOGY GROUP

FORCE Technology
Venlighedsvej 4
2970 Hørsholm
Tel.+45 43 25 14 00

FORCE Technology Norway AS
Nye Vakås vei 32
1395 Hvalstad, Norway
+47 64 00 35 00
+47 64 00 35 01
info@forcetechnology.no

FORCE Technology Sweden AB
Tallnärtargatan 7
72134 Västerås, Sweden
+46 (0)21-490 3000
+46 (0)21-490 3001
info@forcetechnology.se

FORCE Technology
Park Allé 345
2605 Brøndby, Denmark
+45 43 25 00 00
+45 43 25 00 10
info@forcetechnology.dk
www.forcetechnology.com



OVERVIEW

Title	Maintenance testing of generic cabling products
Product description	Screened channel, Class E _A , 4 connector model
Product identification	See clause 2
EC Cabling product ID	5347
Compliance statement	2021-517
Accreditation no.	DANAK-19/22059
Project no.	12125881-01
Original accreditation no.	DANAK-19J1944
Original project no.	N312480
Test object received	2 July 2021
Test period	July 2021
Client	Excel Excel House Junction Six Industrial Park Electric Avenue Birmingham, B6 7JJ United Kingdom
Contact	Neil Payne
Specification	ISO/IEC 11801-1
Results	Compliance with specifications is verified
Prepared by	Lars Bjerring
Reviewed by	Dennis Andersen
Date	14 July 2021
Responsible	

Lars Lindskov Pedersen, Test Manager
FORCE LAN Components and Systems Testing



Disclaimer

This report is issued under the rules of DANA (Danish Accreditation) and ILAC (International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation) including its MRA (Mutual Recognition Arrangement). Further information can be found at www.danak.dk and www.ilac.org.

The report must not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of FORCE Technology.

The test results only relate to the items tested.

The original version of the report is archived in FORCE Technology's database and is sent in electronic duplicate to the customer. The stored version of the report at FORCE Technology prevails as documentation for its contents and validity.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Summary	5
2	Channel components.....	6
3	Conclusion	8
4	Test results.....	9
4.1	Cabling under test.....	9
4.2	Cable marking	9
4.3	High frequency transmission test results. Channel #1 (85 m horizontal cable)	10
4.4	High frequency transmission test results. Channel #2 (15 m horizontal cable)	26
4.5	Resistance properties	42
5	Reference to applicable standards and documents	43
5.1	Generic cabling standards.....	43
5.2	Special requirements for EC VERIFIED	43
6	Test procedures.....	44
6.1	Electrical test.....	44
6.1.1	Attenuation (Att).....	45
6.1.2	Return loss (RL).....	46
6.1.3	Near end crosstalk (NEXT)	47
6.1.4	Powersum near end crosstalk (PSNEXT).....	47
6.1.5	Attenuation to crosstalk loss ratio (ACR-N)	48
6.1.6	Power sum ACR (PSACR-N).....	48
6.1.7	Attenuation to crosstalk ratio-far end (ACR-F).....	48
6.1.8	Power sum attenuation to crosstalk ratio far end (PSACR-F)	48
6.1.9	DC loop resistance	49
6.1.10	Propagation delay	49
6.1.11	Delay skew.....	49
	Appendix 1 Test software	51



1 Summary

A communication channel has been tested for compliance with generic cabling standards.

The purpose of the testing was to maintain certification of the channel according to the EC VERIFIED programme.

The channel for this electrical performance test was assembled and delivered to FORCE by the client.

The channel testing has been performed under laboratory conditions at the EC Cabling Group of FORCE.

This report firstly gives a detailed description of the channels under test. Then the conclusion is given followed by the test results. At last an overview of the test procedures and applied standards are given.

2 Channel components




Figure 1. Channel definition (4 connector model).

The channel consists of the following components:

Item	Name	Cable type	Length	Connecting hardware
A	Terminal cord	Flexible cable	5 m	1 plug
B	Consolidation point cord	Solid cable	5 m	1 socket 1 plug
C	Horizontal cable	Horizontal cable	Channel #1: 85 m Channel #2: 15 m	2 sockets
D	Patch cord	Flexible cable	1 m	2 plugs
E	Equipment cord	Solid cable	4 m	1 socket
TO	Telecommunications Outlet / Wall Outlet			
CP	Consolidation Point connector (Sockets)			
C1, C2	Horizontal cross-connector / Floor Distributor / Patch panel			



Test samples and qualification status

Horizontal cable:

100-196 Excel Category 6A Screened F/FTP "S" Foil Cable LS0H (EC VERIFIED)

RJ45 connector for telecommunications outlet, consolidation point, and patch panel:

100-181 Excel Category 6A Low Profile Screened Keystone Jack – Toolless
(EC VERIFIED)

Terminal cord:

100-154 Excel Category 6A Screened F/FTP LS0H Patch Lead, Booted, 5m, Grey
(EC VERIFIED)

Patch cord:

100-148 Excel Category 6A Screened F/FTP LS0H Patch Lead, Booted, 1m, Grey
(EC VERIFIED)

Consolidation point cord:

100-133 Excel Category 6A Solid Core (F/FTP) screened harness/switch link leads, 5m
(jack added)

Equipment cord:

100-133 Excel Category 6A Solid Core (F/FTP) screened harness/switch link leads, 4 m (jack added)



3 Conclusion

The tested sample complies with the applied requirements.

The test results are only applicable for the tested sample.

4 Test results

4.1 Cabling under test



Figure 2. Photo of product under test

4.2 Cable marking

Table 1. Cable marking, horizontal cable

Characteristic	Result
Type of printing	Jet ink
Colour of text	Black text on a light blue jacket
Text	336 M EXCEL 100-196 4PR 23AWG FFTP LSOH --- LAN CABLE CAT6a BATCH NO 20200825001 EN50575 Class Dca WWW.EXCEL-NETWORKING.COM

Table 2. Cable marking, patch cord(flexible)

Characteristic	Result
Type of printing	Jet ink
Colour of text	Black text on a grey jacket
Text	CAT.6a FFTP PATCH CABLE ISO/IEC-11801 ANSI/TIA-568-C.2 CAT.6a LSZH EXCEL www.excel-networking.com 20181213

4.3 High frequency transmission test results. Channel #1 (85 m horizontal cable)

NEAR END CROSSTALK (NEXT). From terminal end

Channel #1. Worst case margin: 6.8 dB at 315.4 MHz for Blue /Green pair.




Table 3. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	85.8	65.0	20.8
4	3.5	76.8	64.0	12.8
16	16.0	67.2	53.2	13.9
100	99.6	52.5	40.0	12.5
250	250.5	45.0	33.1	11.9
500	500.0	36.3	27.9	8.4

PS NEAR END CROSSTALK(PSNEXT). From terminal end

Channel #1. Worst case margin: 6.3 dB at 427.6 MHz for Green pair.




Table 4. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	83.2	62.0	21.2
4	3.5	74.2	61.5	12.7
16	16.0	63.6	50.6	13.0
100	99.6	48.7	37.1	11.6
250	250.5	43.1	30.1	13.0
500	500.0	32.5	24.8	7.7

RETURN LOSS. From terminal end

Channel #1. Worst case margin: 7.5 dB at 1 MHz for Blue pair.




Table 5. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	26.5	19.0	7.5
4	3.5	28.5	19.0	9.5
16	16.0	29.3	18.0	11.4
100	99.6	32.8	12.0	20.8
250	250.5	26.3	8.0	18.3
500	500.0	20.4	6.0	14.4

ATTENUATION TO CROSSTALK RATIO, NEAR END (ACR-N). From terminal end
Channel #1. Worst case margin: 9.6 dB at 21 MHz for Green/Brown-Green pair.




Table 6. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	83.8	61.0	22.8
4	3.5	73.5	60.0	13.5
16	16.0	60.0	45.1	14.8
100	99.6	33.8	19.2	14.6
250	250.5	14.7	-0.7	15.4
500	500.0	-7.2	-21.4	14.2

PS ATT TO CROSSTALK RATIO , NEAR END (PSACR-N). From terminal end

Channel #1. Worst case margin: 10.4 dB at 291.7 MHz for Green pair.




Table 7. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	81.3	58.0	23.3
4	3.5	70.8	57.5	13.3
16	16.0	56.5	42.5	14.0
100	99.6	30.2	16.4	13.8
250	250.5	12.8	-3.7	16.5
500	500.0	-10.6	-24.5	13.9

NEAR END CROSSTALK (NEXT). From equipment end

Channel #1. Worst case margin: 4 dB at 458.8 MHz for Orange /Green pair.





Table 8. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	87.2	65.0	22.2
4	3.5	77.8	64.0	13.8
16	16.0	66.1	53.2	12.9
100	99.6	51.1	40.0	11.1
250	250.5	44.6	33.1	11.5
500	500.0	35.0	27.9	7.1

PS NEAR END CROSSTALK(PSNEXT). From equipment end

Channel #1. Worst case margin: 5.2 dB at 482.5 MHz for Green pair.



Project: 12125881-01. Data series: #1. Date: Tue 06/Jul/2021 14:17:14

Table 9. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	83.8	62.0	21.8
4	3.5	76.2	61.5	14.7
16	16.0	64.3	50.6	13.7
100	99.6	49.9	37.1	12.7
250	250.5	43.2	30.1	13.1
500	500.0	31.6	24.8	6.8

RETURN LOSS. From equipment end

Channel #1. Worst case margin: 4.6 dB at 22.2 MHz for Blue pair.




Table 10. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	27.1	19.0	8.1
4	3.5	29.1	19.0	10.1
16	16.0	28.0	18.0	10.0
100	99.6	28.0	12.0	16.0
250	250.5	19.5	8.0	11.5
500	500.0	17.2	6.0	11.2

ATTENUATION TO CROSSTALK RATIO, NEAR END (ACR-N). From equipment end

Channel #1. Worst case margin: 10 dB at 481.3 MHz for Blue/Green-Blue pair.




Table 11. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	85.2	61.0	24.2
4	3.5	74.5	60.0	14.5
16	16.0	59.0	45.1	13.8
100	99.6	32.4	19.2	13.2
250	250.5	15.2	-0.7	15.9
500	500.0	-8.5	-21.4	12.9

PS ATT TO CROSSTALK RATIO , NEAR END (PSACR-N). From equipment end
Channel #1. Worst case margin: 9.5 dB at 482.5 MHz for Green pair.




Table 12. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	81.8	58.0	23.8
4	3.5	72.9	57.5	15.4
16	16.0	57.1	42.5	14.6
100	99.6	31.3	16.4	14.9
250	250.5	13.2	-3.7	16.9
500	500.0	-11.5	-24.5	13.0

BALANCED MODE ATTENUATION.

Channel #1. Worst case margin: 0.6 dB at 4.7 MHz for Blue pair.





Table 13. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.


Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	2.0	4.0	2.0
4	3.5	3.3	4.0	0.7
16	16.0	7.2	8.1	0.9
100	99.6	18.7	20.7	2.1
250	250.5	30.3	33.8	3.6
500	500.0	43.5	49.3	5.8

ATTENUATION TO CROSSTALK RATIO - FAR (ACR-F).

Channel #1. Worst case margin: 5.5 dB at 137 MHz for Orange/Green-Orange pair.

**ATTENUATION TO CROSSTALK RATIO - FAR (ACR-F).**

Channel #1. Worst case margin: 8.9 dB at 1 MHz for Orange/Brown-Orange pair.



ATTENUATION TO CROSSTALK RATIO - FAR (ACR-F).

Channel #1. Worst case margin: 3.1 dB at 166.9 MHz for Green/Orange-Green pair.




Table 14. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	72.0	63.3	8.7
4	3.5	62.9	52.4	10.5
16	16.0	50.5	39.2	11.3
100	99.6	34.9	23.3	11.6
250	250.5	25.1	15.3	9.8
500	500.0	21.9	9.3	12.6

PS SUM ATTENUATION TO CROSSTALK RATIO - FAR (PSACR-F).

Channel #1. Worst case margin: 6.9 dB at 295.4 MHz for Green pair.




Table 15. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	69.4	60.3	9.1
4	3.5	60.3	49.4	10.9
16	16.0	48.6	36.2	12.4
100	99.6	33.9	20.3	13.6
250	250.5	23.6	12.3	11.3
500	500.0	19.4	6.3	13.1

PROPAGATION DELAY.

Channel #1. Worst case margin: 0.123 µs at 498.8 MHz for Orange pair.




Table 16. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [ns]	Limit value [ns]	Calc. margin [ns]
1	1.0	453	580	127
4	3.5	438	563	126
16	16.0	429	553	124
100	99.6	424	548	123
250	250.5	423	546	123
500	500.0	422	546	123

DELAY SKEW.

Channel #1. Worst case margin: 42.5 ns.




Table 17. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [ns]	Limit value [ns]	Calc. margin [ns]
1	1.0	7.5	50.0	42.5
4	3.5	6.9	50.0	43.1
16	16.0	7.0	50.0	43.0
100	99.6	7.1	50.0	42.9
250	250.5	7.2	50.0	42.8
500	500.0	7.2	50.0	42.8

4.4 High frequency transmission test results. Channel #2 (15 m horizontal cable)

NEAR END CROSSTALK (NEXT). From terminal end

Channel #2. Worst case margin: 0.6 dB at 98.3 MHz for Blue /Green pair.




Table 18. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	84.4	65.0	19.4
4	3.5	78.7	64.0	14.7
16	16.0	62.8	53.2	9.5
100	99.6	42.0	40.0	2.0
250	250.5	45.5	33.1	12.4
500	500.0	37.1	27.9	9.2

PS NEAR END CROSSTALK(PSNEXT). From terminal end

Channel #2. Worst case margin: 2.5 dB at 98.3 MHz for Green pair.




Table 19. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	83.1	62.0	21.1
4	3.5	74.4	61.5	12.9
16	16.0	60.2	50.6	9.6
100	99.6	41.6	37.1	4.4
250	250.5	43.7	30.1	13.5
500	500.0	33.4	24.8	8.6

RETURN LOSS. From terminal end

Channel #2. Worst case margin: 1.9 dB at 1 MHz for Orange pair.




Table 20. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	20.9	19.0	1.9
4	3.5	28.8	19.0	9.8
16	16.0	26.2	18.0	8.2
100	99.6	29.8	12.0	17.8
250	250.5	25.0	8.0	16.9
500	500.0	20.7	6.0	14.7

ATTENUATION TO CROSSTALK RATIO, NEAR END (ACR-N). From terminal end Channel #2. Worst case margin: 12.6 dB at 23.5 MHz for Green/Brown-Green pair.




Table 21. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	83.7	61.0	22.7
4	3.5	77.6	60.0	17.7
16	16.0	60.5	45.1	15.3
100	99.6	35.9	19.2	16.7
250	250.5	35.7	-0.7	36.4
500	500.0	22.7	-21.4	44.1

PS ATT TO CROSSTALK RATIO , NEAR END (PSACR-N). From terminal end

Channel #2. Worst case margin: 12.9 dB at 23.5 MHz for Green pair.




Table 22. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	82.4	58.0	24.4
4	3.5	73.3	57.5	15.8
16	16.0	57.9	42.5	15.4
100	99.6	35.5	16.4	19.1
250	250.5	33.9	-3.7	37.6
500	500.0	18.5	-24.5	43.0

NEAR END CROSSTALK (NEXT). From equipment end

Channel #2. Worst case margin: 1.5 dB at 185.6 MHz for Blue /Green pair.




Table 23. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	85.3	65.0	20.3
4	3.5	77.3	64.0	13.4
16	16.0	66.1	53.2	12.9
100	99.6	46.4	40.0	6.4
250	250.5	41.1	33.1	8.0
500	500.0	32.8	27.9	4.9

PS NEAR END CROSSTALK(PSNEXT). From equipment end
Channel #2. Worst case margin: 3.1 dB at 110.8 MHz for Green pair.




Table 24. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	83.5	62.0	21.5
4	3.5	75.6	61.5	14.1
16	16.0	62.6	50.6	12.0
100	99.6	44.8	37.1	7.7
250	250.5	39.9	30.1	9.8
500	500.0	30.1	24.8	5.3

RETURN LOSS. From equipment end

Channel #2. Worst case margin: 1.3 dB at 1 MHz for Orange pair.




Table 25. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	20.3	19.0	1.3
4	3.5	30.8	19.0	11.8
16	16.0	23.6	18.0	5.6
100	99.6	26.2	12.0	14.2
250	250.5	18.4	8.0	10.3
500	500.0	16.9	6.0	10.9

ATTENUATION TO CROSSTALK RATIO, NEAR END (ACR-N). From equipment end
Channel #2. Worst case margin: 12.9 dB at 23.5 MHz for Green/Brown-Green pair.




Table 26. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	84.6	61.0	23.6
4	3.5	76.3	60.0	16.3
16	16.0	63.9	45.1	18.7
100	99.6	40.3	19.2	21.1
250	250.5	31.6	-0.7	32.3
500	500.0	18.4	-21.4	39.8

PS ATT TO CROSSTALK RATIO , NEAR END (PSACR-N). From equipment end
Channel #2. Worst case margin: 14.4 dB at 23.5 MHz for Green pair.




Table 27. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	82.8	58.0	24.8
4	3.5	74.5	57.5	17.0
16	16.0	60.4	42.5	17.9
100	99.6	38.8	16.4	22.4
250	250.5	30.2	-3.7	33.8
500	500.0	15.2	-24.5	39.7

BALANCED MODE ATTENUATION.

Channel #2. Worst case margin: 2.9 dB at 3.5 MHz for Green pair.





Table 28. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.


Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	0.7	4.0	3.3
4	3.5	1.1	4.0	2.9
16	16.0	2.3	8.1	5.8
100	99.6	6.2	20.7	14.6
250	250.5	9.8	33.8	24.0
500	500.0	14.9	49.3	34.4

ATTENUATION TO CROSSTALK RATIO - FAR (ACR-F).

Channel #2. Worst case margin: 7.4 dB at 3.5 MHz for Orange/Green-Orange pair.

**ATTENUATION TO CROSSTALK RATIO - FAR (ACR-F).**

Channel #2. Worst case margin: 11 dB at 496.3 MHz for Green/Blue-Green pair.



ATTENUATION TO CROSSTALK RATIO - FAR (ACR-F).

Channel #2. Worst case margin: 7.4 dB at 2.2 MHz for Green/Orange-Green pair.




Table 29. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	71.8	63.3	8.5
4	3.5	59.8	52.4	7.4
16	16.0	47.4	39.2	8.2
100	99.6	31.8	23.3	8.5
250	250.5	25.0	15.3	9.7
500	500.0	18.9	9.3	9.6

PS SUM ATTENUATION TO CROSSTALK RATIO - FAR (PSACR-F).

Channel #2. Worst case margin: 9.7 dB at 3.5 MHz for Green pair.




Table 30. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [dB]	Limit value [dB]	Calc. margin [dB]
1	1.0	71.0	60.3	10.7
4	3.5	59.1	49.4	9.7
16	16.0	46.7	36.2	10.5
100	99.6	30.5	20.3	10.2
250	250.5	23.7	12.3	11.4
500	500.0	21.3	6.3	15.1

PROPAGATION DELAY.

Channel #2. Worst case margin: 0.421 µs at 500 MHz for Orange pair.




Table 31. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [ns]	Limit value [ns]	Calc. margin [ns]
1	1.0	133	580	447
4	3.5	129	563	434
16	16.0	126	553	427
100	99.6	125	548	423
250	250.5	125	546	422
500	500.0	124	546	421

DELAY SKEW.

Channel #2. Worst case margin: 47.5 ns.




Table 32. Worst case margins at selected nominal frequencies.

Nominal Freq. [MHz]	Meas. Freq. [MHz]	Meas. value [ns]	Limit value [ns]	Calc. margin [ns]
1	1.0	2.5	50.0	47.5
4	3.5	1.7	50.0	48.3
16	16.0	1.8	50.0	48.2
100	99.6	1.8	50.0	48.2
250	250.5	1.8	50.0	48.2
500	500.0	1.8	50.0	48.2

Equipment:

Network Analyser Hewlett Packard, type 8753E
Switch Agilent, type 87050A
Balun BH, type 040-0192
Balun BH, type 040-0192

Instrument no.: 31109
Instrument no.: 31173
Instrument no.: 31175 to 31178
Instrument no.: 31179 to 31182



4.5 Resistance properties

Table 33. DC loop resistance, channel #1

Pair	Resistance [Ohm]	Requirement	Compliance
1	14.7	< 19	YES
2	15.2		YES
3	15.1		YES
4	14.9		YES

Table 34. DC resistance unbalance, channel #1

Pair	R unbalance [%]	Requirement	Compliance
1	0.4	< 2 %	YES
2	1.9		YES
3	0.3		YES
4	0.1		YES

Equipment:

Multimeter Keithley, type 2000

Instrument no.: 31092



5 Reference to applicable standards and documents

Test of the cabling product is performed with reference to the following standards:

5.1 Generic cabling standards

ISO/IEC 11801-1:2017 (Ed. 1.0)

Information technology - Generic cabling for customer premises -
Part 1: General requirements

ISO/IEC 11801-2:2017 (Ed. 1.0)

Information technology - Generic cabling for customer premises -
Part 2: Office premises

CENELEC EN 50173-1:2018

Information Technology - Generic cabling systems - Part 1: General requirements

CENELEC EN 50173-2:2018

Information technology - Generic cabling systems - Part 2: Office spaces

TIA-568.2-D:2018

Balanced Twisted-Pair Telecommunications Cabling and Components Standard

5.2 Special requirements for EC VERIFIED

DQP231006 (version 29, May 2018)

Terms and conditions for the EC VERIFIED programme for Generic and Coaxial cabling

6 Test procedures

The tests carried out on the communication cabling under test are performed according to the standard: IEC 61935-1 Specification for the testing of balanced and coaxial information technology cabling - Part 1: Installed balanced cabling as specified in ISO/IEC 11801 and related standards.

The test methods are detailed in test procedures worked out by FORCE and approved by DANA. In this section the procedures are described briefly.

6.1 Electrical test

The electrical tests on the communication channel under test are performed according to the procedures described in this section. The performed tests are shown in the following table. The floor cable is stretched on a non-conductive surface.

Table 35. Performed tests

	Measured from	
	Equipment end (patch panel)	Terminal end (wall outlet)
Attenuation	x	
Near end crosstalk (NEXT)	x	x
Attenuation to crosstalk, far (ACR-F)	x	
Return loss	x	x
Propagation delay	x	
Delay skew	x	
Resistance properties	x	

6.1.1 Attenuation (Att)




Figure 3. Test set-up for attenuation measurements.

Terminal end and equipment end are connected to one balun each.

The measurement uncertainty for Attenuation is dependant of the level of measured Attenuation.
The uncertainty is shown in the table below:

Measured attenuation	Uncertainty
1 dB	±0.1 dB
10 dB	±0.3 dB
60 dB	±0.5 dB
80 dB	±2 dB

6.1.2 Return loss (RL)




Figure 4. Test set-up for return loss measurements.

The return loss of the channel under test is measured with the far end terminated with a resistance of the specified characteristic impedance of the channel under test.

The measurement uncertainty for impedance is $\pm 1.7\%$ at 100 MHz.

The measurement uncertainty for return loss is dependant of the measured return loss value. The error band is specified in the table below:

Measured return loss	Error band
5 dB	± 0.2 dB
10 dB	± 0.3 dB
15 dB	± 0.5 dB
20 dB	± 1 dB
25 dB	± 2 dB
30 dB	± 3 dB

At frequencies above 500 MHz add ± 1 dB to the uncertainty.

6.1.3 Near end crosstalk (NEXT)




Figure 5. Test set-up for near end crosstalk measurements.

Near end crosstalk, NEXT, is measured as the attenuation from one pair to the others and these measurements are repeated for all pair combinations.

In the far end all pairs are terminated with a resistor with a value of the nominal characteristic impedance of the component under test.

The measurement uncertainty for NEXT is dependant of the level of measured NEXT.
The uncertainty is shown in the table below:

Measured NEXT	Uncertainty
40 dB	±0.5 dB
60 dB	±0.6 dB
70 dB	±0.8 dB
80 dB	±1.7 dB

6.1.4 Powersum near end crosstalk (PSNEXT)

PSNEXT is calculated for each pair according to the formula:

$$PSNEXT = -10 \log(10^{-x1/10} + 10^{-x2/10} + 10^{-x3/10})$$

where x1, x2, x3 are the pair-to-pair crosstalk measurements in dB between the selected pair and the other three pairs.

The measurement uncertainty is the same as for NEXT measurements.

6.1.5 Attenuation to crosstalk loss ratio (ACR-N)

Attenuation to crosstalk ratio loss near end, ACR-N is calculated for each pair as the difference between the near end crosstalk loss and the attenuation.

The measurement uncertainty is the same as for NEXT measurements.

6.1.6 Power sum ACR (PSACR-N)

PSACR-N is calculated according to the formula:

$$PSACR - N = -10 \log (10^{-x1/10} + 10^{-x2/10} + 10^{-x3/10})$$

where x1, x2 and x3 are the ACR-N values in dB between the selected pair and the other three pairs.

The measurement uncertainty is the same as for NEXT measurements.

6.1.7 Attenuation to crosstalk ratio-far end (ACR-F)




Figure 6. Test set-up for far end crosstalk measurements.

ACR-F is calculated from the measured values of far end cross talk, FEXT and attenuation, ATT.

$$ACR-F = FEXT - ATT$$

The measurement uncertainty for ACR-F is the sum of uncertainties for NEXT and ATT.

6.1.8 Power sum attenuation to crosstalk ratio far end (PSACR-F)

PSACR-F is calculated for each pair according to the equation:

$$PSACR - F = PSFEXT - ATTENUATION$$

$$PSFEXT = -10 \log (10^{-x1/10} + 10^{-x2/10} + 10^{-x3/10})$$

Where x1, x2, x3 are the pair-to-pair crosstalk measurements in dB between the selected pair and the other three pairs.



6.1.9 DC loop resistance

DC loop resistance is measured for each conductor in a pair. The loop resistance is calculated by adding the resistance of each conductor. The measured value is corrected for the temperature of the test object in order to obtain the resistance at 20 °C.

The measurement uncertainty for DC resistance is ±1.4 %.

6.1.10 Propagation delay

Propagation delay is measured by determining the phase delay of a signal transmitted through the cable.

$$\delta = \frac{\varphi}{2\pi \times f}$$

where φ is the phase delay, f is the frequency in Hertz.

The measurement uncertainty for delay is ±1 %.

6.1.11 Delay skew

Delay skew is calculated as the difference between the maximum and minimum propagation delay over the four pairs at each frequency.

The measurement uncertainty for skew is ±10 %.



APPENDIX



Appendix 1 Test software

Test software according to information in the table below is used for the conducted tests.

Software name	Function	File name	Version	Date
Cablingtotal	Electrical cable HF tests	Cabltot	4.14	19.03.2021
ECCalculate	Calculated parameters	ECCalculate	12.00	15.11.2019
ECReport	Makes graphs	Xreport.exe	43.00	01.08.2014
Report 2002	Automatic report processing	Rapport.exe	2.4	25.11.2020
Report 2002 Database	Data and documents	Cable report	5.80	15.06.2021
Journal	Keeps journal data	Journal*.xlsm	5.00	01.06.2021
Worksheets	Keeps data	Work*.xlsm	5.0	01.06.2021